

REMARKS

Claims 1-77 are pending in the Application.

Claims 23-56 and 67-77 have been allowed.

Claims 1-6, 21 and 57-58 stand rejected.

Claims 7-20, 22 and 59-66 are objected to.

I. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-3, 5 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Chen et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,751,791). Since these claims have been amended to be in allowable form per the objected to claims, these rejections are moot.

Claims 57-58 and 65 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Schuster et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,261). Since these claims have been amended to be in allowable form per the objected to claims, these rejections are moot.

II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 4 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over *Chen* in view of *Hung et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,760,429). Since these claims have been amended to be in allowable form per the objected to claims, these rejections are moot.

### III. CONCLUSION

As a result of the foregoing, it is asserted by Applicants that the remaining Claims in the Application are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request an early allowance of such Claims.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner call Applicants' attorney at the below listed number if the Examiner believes that such a discussion would be helpful in resolving any remaining problems.

Respectfully submitted,

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.

Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Kelly K. Kordzik  
Reg. No. 36,571

P.O. Box 50784  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(512) 370-2851

Austin\_1\276139\1  
16312-P005US 3/17/2005